WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SING LANDFILL Weather Conditions: NoNotes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. 5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the 8_ landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below-9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question Were the citizen complaints logged? 11.

Additional Notes:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:_	6-18-20 Inspector. W	Hie	ماليالياليا دم	•
Time:_	3.30 Weather Conditions: 5	m		-
		Yes	No	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	: <u>1</u>)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	ŕ	1	
	localized settlement observed on the	-		
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		1 0	1
	CCR?			
- 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells			
	containing CCR or within the general landfill		1 /	1
ĺ	operations that represent a potential disruption		/ ~/	
	to ongoing CCR management operations?			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or		<u> </u>	
	within the general landfill operations that			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			
	the CCR management operations.			
תיים בי-			<u> </u>	
	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	<u>4))) </u>	,	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting			
	period? If answer is no, no additional	ver is no, no additional quired.		
	information required			
5_	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust			
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		}	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			
	landfill access roads?			•
8-	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			-
	corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,		- 1	
	describe recommended changes below.		ļ	
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen			
	complaints received during the reporting	[
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			-
	ormon compraints togged?			
dditional	l Notes:	- •		
	:-			
•				

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEBLANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	6-11-20 Inspector. 17.45 Weather Conditions:	No Cy	CS.	N	-
Time:_	17 45 Weather Conditions:	Clou	1	26:	
		Yes	No		Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	: 3 4)			
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	Ť			
ł	localized settlement observed on the	ļ			
_	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		Ü	-\f*	
- 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells	<u> </u>			
1	containing CCR or within the general landfill				
1	operations that represent a potential disruption		i/	1	
	to ongoing CCR management operations?			1	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or				
	within the general landfill operations that	-			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		レ	1	
	the CCR management operations.				
CCR Ft	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(<u>(4))</u>	<u>.</u>		
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting				
_	period? If answer is no, no additional		/	1	
	information required				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		 		
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR				
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		•		
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			 	
	landfill access roads?				,
8-	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		 		
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			-	
	corrective action measures below.				-
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				
	measures effective? If the answer is no,			-	
	describe recommended changes below.			1	
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
	complaints received during the reporting				
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question				
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				-
			-		
dditional	l Notes:				
	3.				
					

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEPHENSING LANDFILL

ime:_	8:00 Weather Conditions:	Sunne	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	Yes	No	Notes
CRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		
	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the			
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		/	1
- 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells			
	containing CCR or within the general landfill			
	operations that represent a potential disruption		/	1
	to ongoing CCR management operations?			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or			
	within the general landfill operations that	<u> </u>		
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			
	the CCR management operations.			
CR Ft	agitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting		/	
	period? If answer is no, no additional			
	information required.			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust			
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		•	
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			-
	landfill access roads?			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			
	corrective action measures below.			•
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,			
	describe recommended changes below.		1	•
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-		
	complaints received during the reporting			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question			_
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015.xlsx